2,3,4,6-Tetra-*O*-acetyl-*a*-D-glucopyranosyl azide

Simone Dedola,^a Sergey A. Nepogodiev,^a David L. Hughes^b and Robert A. Field^{a*}

^aDepartment of Biological Chemistry, John Innes Centre, Colney Lane, Norwich NR4 7UH, England, and ^bSchool of Chemical Sciences and Pharmacy, University of East Anglia, Norwich NR4 7TJ, England Correspondence e-mail: rob.field@bbsrc.ac.uk

Received 2 June 2008 Accepted 4 July 2008 Online 19 July 2008

The Cu^I-catalysed 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of an azide and a terminal alkyne is becoming an increasingly popular tool for synthetic chemists. This is the most representative of the socalled 'click reactions' and it is used to generate 1,4-disubstituted triazoles in high yield. During studies on such cycloaddition reactions, a reduced reactivity of an α -glucosyl azide with respect to the corresponding β -anomer was observed. With the aim of understanding this phenomenon, the structure of the title compound, $C_{14}H_{19}N_3O_9$, has been determined at 140 K. The glucopyranosyl ring appears in a regular 4C_1 chair conformation with all the substituents in equatorial positions, except for the anomeric azide group, which adopts an axial orientation. The observed bond lengths are consistent with a strong anomeric effect, which is reflected in a change in dipolar character and hence reduced reactivity of the α -glucosyl azide.

Comment

Glycosyl azides represent important and versatile building blocks in carbohydrate chemistry (Györgydeák $&$ Thiem, 2006). They have been widely used as synthetic intermediates for the preparation of glycosyl amines, asparagine-linked glycopeptides (Herzner et al., 2000) and, more recently, triazole-linked neoglycoconjugates (Dedola et al., 2007; Dondoni, 2007). These last became available as a result of the discovery of the highly efficient Cu^I-catalysed cycloaddition reaction between azides and terminal alkynes (Rostovtsev et al., 2002; Tornøe et al., 2002), which is commonly referred to as 'click chemistry'. This cycloaddition process has been extensively used for the synthesis of a variety of neoglycoconjugates (Dedola et al., 2007; Dondoni, 2007). In the course of our work on the synthesis of starch-like molecules, we experienced major problems with stereocontrol in the synthesis of intersugar chain glycosidic linkages (Marmuse et al., 2005a). We therefore resorted to the preparation of pseudo-starch fragments based on glucosyl triazole linkages (Marmuse et al., 2005b; Nepogodiev et al., 2007). Initial studies were based on the immediately accessible β -linked sugar azides, which do not mirror the anomeric stereochemistry of starch. We report here the structural analysis of the title compound, (I), prepared according to Bianchi & Bernardi (2006). The X-ray structure of the corresponding β -linked anomer, viz. 2,3,4,6-tetra- O -acetyl- β -D-glucopyranosyl azide, (II), has already been reported (Temelkoff et al., 2004).

The six-membered pyranose ring in (I) adopts a chair conformation, with all exocyclic substituents adopting an equatorial arrangement, except for the anomeric azide which has the expected axial orientation (Fig. 1). The geometry of the pyranose ring and the orientation of the acetate groups of (I) are very similar to those features in the corresponding β -anomer, (II) (Temelkoff et al., 2004). However, whereas the length of the C1 $-$ O5 bond in (I) [1.423 (3) \AA] is the same as in (II) $[1.420 (2)$ Å, the C1-N11 $[1.510 (3)$ versus 1.460 (2) \AA and C5 - O5 [1.459 (3) versus 1.432 (2) \AA] distances are significantly longer in (I) than in (II). As in (II), the azide group in (I) appears as a nearly linear fragment $[N11-N12-N13 = 173.0 (3)$ °, compared with 171.4 (2)° for (II)] and shows a very similar C1—N11—N12 bond angle [113.7 (2) versus 113.74 (14) \degree for (II)].

However, the N—N bond lengths for the azide group and the $C1 - N11$ distances in (I) and (II) are different. The structural differences between the anomeric glucosyl azides

Figure 1

A view of the molecular structure of (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme. For clarity, only the major component of the disordered –CH2OCOMe group at C6 is shown. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level and H atoms are shown as capped sticks.

are all in keeping with the expected influence of the anomeric effect (Briggs et al., 1984; Wolfe et al., 1979). For (II), the terminal N12—N13 bond [1.119 (3) \AA] is shorter than the N11—N12 bond [1.243 (2) \AA], whereas in (I), these are more similar in value $[1.195 (4)$ and $1.165 (3)$ Å, respectively]. One might therefore anticipate a significant reactivity difference between compounds (I) and (II) in dipolar cycloaddition chemistry, which we have indeed observed: a much lower reactivity of α -azide (I) compared with β -azide (II) is evident in the Cu^I-catalysed synthesis of triazoles. This is consistent with other experimental observations (Wilkinson et al., 2006) and in accord with computational studies, which show the crucial role that a partial negative charge on atom N11 plays in the mechanism of the Cu^I-catalysed cycloaddition of azides and alkynes (Himo et al., 2005).

Experimental

 $2,3,4,6$ -Tetra-O-acetyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl azide, (I), was prepared along with the β -anomer, (II), in a 9:1 ratio (determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy) as described previously (Bianchi & Bernardi, 2006), using tetra-O-acetyl-B-D-glucopyranosyl chloride (Korytnyk & Mills, 1959) and $Me₃SiN₃$ (Soli et al., 1999). Single isomers were obtained using purification by silica-gel column chromatography. Crystals of (I) suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained as colourless blocks by recrystallization from ethanol.

Crystal data

 $C_{14}H_{19}N_3O_9$ $M_r = 373.32$ Monoclinic, $P2₁$ $a = 10.806$ (4) \AA $b = 7.9840(13)$ Å $c = 11.0107(8)$ Å $\beta = 109.742$ (2)^o Data collection Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur3/CCD diffractometer

Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrysAlis RED; Oxford Diffraction, 2006)

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.039$ $wR(F^2) = 0.087$ $S = 0.90$ 3125 reflections 257 parameters 1 restraint

 $V = 894.1$ (3) \AA^3 $Z = 2$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 0.12$ mm⁻¹ $T = 140$ (1) K $0.45 \times 0.43 \times 0.30$ mm

 $T_{\text{min}} = 0.940, T_{\text{max}} = 1.060$ (expected range = 0.856–0.966) 8905 measured reflections 3125 independent reflections 2216 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.039$

H-atom parameters constrained $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.15 \text{ e A}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -0.16 \text{ e A}^{-3}$ Absolute structure: Flack (1983), with 1440 Friedel pairs Flack parameter: 0.6 (12)

Non-H atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters, except those in the -CH₂OCOMe group on C6 where there was suspected disorder; the major C6/O6/C61/O61/C62 component [79.9 (5)%] was refined anisotropically, but the minor C7/O7/C71/ O71/C72 component [20.1 (5)%] was not fully resolved and its C and O atoms were refined isotropically; the methyl C atom represented by C62/C72 was found to be common to both orientations and was refined with full occupancy for that site using the EXYZ and EADP restraints in SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008). H atoms were included in idealized positions, with C—H distances for the methyl, methylene and methine groups set at $0.96, 0.97$ and 0.98 Å , respectively, and with $U_{\text{iso}}(H) = 1.5U_{\text{eq}}(C)$ for the methyl group and $1.2U_{\text{eq}}(C)$ for the remaining groups. The absolute configuration of the C atoms could not be determined from the X-ray data; the assignment was based on the known configuration of the starting material.

Data collection: CrysAlis CCD (Oxford Diffraction, 2007); cell refinement: CrysAlis RED (Oxford Diffraction, 2006); data reduction: CrysAlis RED; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: Mercury (Macrae et al., 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97 and publCIF (Westrip, 2008).

The authors are grateful to the BBSRC and the EPSRC for financial support.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BM3053). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Bianchi, A. & Bernardi, A. (2006). J. Org. Chem. 71, 4565–4577.
- Briggs, A. J., Glenn, R., Jones, P. G., Kirby, A. J. & Ramaswamy, P. (1984). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 106, 6200–6206.
- Dedola, S., Nepogodiev, S. A. & Field, R. A. (2007). Org. Biomol. Chem. 5, 1006–1017.
- Dondoni, A. (2007). Chem. Asian J. 2, 700–708.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876–881.
- Györgydeák, Z. & Thiem, J. (2006). Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem. 60, 103-182.
- Herzner, H., Reipen, T., Schultz, M. & Kunz, H. (2000). Chem. Rev. 100, 4495– 4537.
- Himo, F., Lovell, T., Hilgraf, R., Rostovtsev, V. V., Noodleman, L., Sharpless, K. B. & Fokin, V. V. (2005). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127, 210–216.
- Korytnyk, W. & Mills, J. A. (1959). J. Chem. Soc. pp. 636–649.
- Macrae, C. F., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Shields, G. P., Taylor, R., Towler, M. & van de Streek, J. (2006). J. Appl. Cryst. 39, 453–457.
- Marmuse, L., Nepogodiev, S. A. & Field, R. A. (2005a). Tetrahedron Asymmetry, 16, 477–485.
- Marmuse, L., Nepogodiev, S. A. & Field, R. A. (2005b). Org. Biomol. Chem. 3, 2225–2227.
- Nepogodiev, S. A., Dedola, S., Marmuse, L., de Oliveira, M. T. & Field, R. A. (2007). Carbohydr. Res. 342, 529–540.
- Oxford Diffraction (2006). CrysAlis RED. Version 1.171.29.9. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Abingdon, England.
- Oxford Diffraction (2007). CrysAlis CCD. Version 1.171.32.5. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Abingdon, England.
- Rostovtsev, V. V., Green, L. G., Fokin, V. V. & Sharpless, K. B. (2002). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 41, 2596–2599.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112–122.
- Soli, E. D., Manoso, A. S., Patterson, M. C. & DeShong, P. (1999). J. Org. Chem. 64, 3171–3177.
- Temelkoff, D. P., Norris, P. & Zeller, M. (2004). Acta Cryst. E60, o1975–o1976. Tornøe, C. W., Christensen, C. & Meldal, M. (2002). J. Org. Chem. 67, 3057–
- 3064. Westrip, S. P. (2008). publCIF. In preparation.
- Wilkinson, B. L., Bornaghi, L. F., Poulsen, S. A. & Houston, T. A. (2006). Tetrahedron, 62, 8115–8125.
- Wolfe, S., Whangbo, M. H. & Mitchell, D. J. (1979). Carbohydr. Res. 69, 1–26.